

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/783,384	02/20/2004	Normand Dupuis	210/3	210/3 6407 EXAMINER		
27538	7590 04/20/2005		EXAM			
	& GILMAN , L.L.P.	TON, Al	TON, ANABEL			
900 ROUTE WOODBRII	9 NORTH DGE, NJ 07095		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2875			
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/783,38	34	DUPUIS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Anabel M.	Ton	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply secified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2004.							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,3,5 and 6 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/or No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al (6,840,658).

Anderson discloses a lower portion(22), an upper portion(27), said lower portion having at least one lamp to illuminate the space there beneath, one or more side panels on one of said portions for supporting at least one advertising sign(figs 2-3). Anderson does not discloses the upper portion having at least one lamp. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a second light source in the upper portion of Anderson since it has been held that mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8. Furthermore, a second light source would be purposeful for providing a greater amount of illumination to the advertising sign; at least one of said side panels is removable, the lower end of said removable side panel fitting against a rail formed on the top of said lower portion to, in use, hold it in position (fig 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/783,384 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 7 is allowed.

4. Claims 2,3,5,6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art cited does not teach an upper dome shape above the lower portion, the support member and opaque side panels extending between each pair of support members and curves upwards towards a peak of the dome shaped upper portion, one of the side panels being removable. With regards to claim 7, the prior art cited does not teach the dome shape upper portion above the lower portion and having a curved support tube upstanding at each corner of the lower portion to meet at a peak and form a support structure, the retainer on each support tube, the upper portion having at least one lamp to illuminate each advertising sign, a top wiring suspension box at the peak.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,384

Art Unit: 2875

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT

Gendra O'Sica Substitisory Patent Exemines Substitisory Contas 2800